

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,326	09/26/2000	Yaqi Cheng	TI-28221	6078
23494	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			TRAN, KHANH C	
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/671,326	CHENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh Tran	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	nuary 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-18</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , ,	ad				
See the attached detailed Office action 101 a list	or the certified copies flot receive	cu.				
Attackerson						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Professor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/671,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on 01/03/2005 has been entered. Claims 1-20 are pending in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-12 of the Amendment, filed on 01/03/2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-4, 6-10 under 35 U.S.C 103(a), and the rejection(s) of claim(s) 12 and 19 under 35 U.S.C 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Smith et al. U.S. Patent 5,841,840 and U.S. Patent 5,901,205, Kikinis U.S. Patent 6,480,486 B2.
- 3. The rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C 112, First Paragraph, has been withdrawn after Applicants pointed out portions of the specification supporting the claimed subject matter.
 - 4. Amendment to the specification on page 2 has been accepted.
 - 5. Formal drawings filed on 01/14/2005 have been accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 10, 12-14, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. U.S. Patent 5,841,840 in view of Kikinis U.S. Patent 6,480,486 B2.

Regarding claim 1, Smith et al. invention is directed to a multiple line modem and a method for enabling a user to usurp two or more telephone lines for data transfer when the telephone is not in use, and the ability to switch from multiple line data operation to one or more line data and one or more line telephone operation automatically when the telephone handset is lifted or an incoming call is detected signaling a request for voice service.

In column 2, lines 50-65, figure 1 illustrates a schematic view illustrating a multiple line modem and the method as recited above in a typical network connection between a central site and a customer premise (CP). Smith et al. explicitly teaches that first CP modem 31 and second CP modem 32 can be implemented as integrated modems whereby *customer premise modem 30 includes both first CP modem 31 and second CP modem 32*. In light of that, the following rejection argument is based on a single integrated CP modem 30.

First, CP modem 30 inherently has a transceiver (transmitter and receiver).

CP modem 30 does not explicitly show a first interface as claimed in the application claim. Nevertheless, because CP modem 30 serves computer 33 through lines 37 38, CP modem 30 includes a first interface coupled to the transceiver and configured to serve computer 33 as appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art.

CP modem 30 does not explicitly show a second interface as claimed in the application claim. However, using analogous argument as for the first interface, CP modem 30 includes a second interface coupled to the transceiver and configured to couple the transceiver of CP modem 30 to a network node 20 via line 16; see figure 1. In light of the foregoing, line 16 corresponds to the claimed first master communication loop. Further shown in figure 1, CP modem 30 is also configured to couple to the network node 20 via line 17, which is also available not only for data mode, but also for voice mode. Line 17 serves phones 36. Because CP modem 30 includes a modem controller 34, which provides adaptive switching capability that enables the modem to switch second line 17 between data transfer mode and voice transfer mode; see column 3, lines 38-46. In view of the aforementioned discussion, line 17 shares between voice mode and data mode, therefore, line 17 corresponds to the claimed shared second communication loop.

Smith et al. does not expressly teach the shared second communication loop configured to serve a second communications terminal. In another US Patent, Kikinis invention is directed to a Service center adapted to a hotel telephone system in which a traveler connects a note-book computer 126 to an existing telephone 122c from a room or a hotel lounge; see column 3, lines 5-15. Phones 122a through 122d represent telephones connected on the hotel's internal telephone system. As known in the art of modern communications, any computer desktop or notebook computer using standard modern for connection to the Internet can connect to an existing telephone line using the phone jack. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, figure 1 of Smith et al. teachings can be modified so that a computer having a modern can be connected to one of the phones 36 for access to the Internet through existing phone line.

Regarding claim 2, referring to figure 3, telephone 12 can be physically remote from the multi-line CP modem 30 as appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 10, Smith et al. teachings use a plurality of telephone lines for voice and data. Telephone line is inherently twisted pair of conductors.

Regarding claim 12, claim 12 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 1 because of similar scope.

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 13, claim 13 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 3 because of similar scope.

Regarding claim 14, referring to Smith et al. invention, in column 3, lines 15-40, when both first line 16 and second line 17 are utilized in data mode to serve computer 33. Data on lines 37 and 38 are bonded to form a high speed data connection, which is faster than operating on first data line 16.

Regarding claim 18, claim 18 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 10 because of similar scope.

7. Claims 3-4, 6, 8-9, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. U.S. Patent 5,841,840 and Kikinis U.S. Patent 6,480,486 B2 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith et al. U.S. Patent 5,901,205.

Regarding claim 3, Smith et al. does not teach CP modem being digital subscriber line (DSL) modem in the U.S. Patent 5,841,840. However, Smith et al teaches in subsequent invention US Patent 5,901,205, a continuation-in-part of US Patent 5,841,840, the multi-line modem is implemented as a DSL modem. In light of the aforementioned teachings, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, the multiple line CP modem 30 in the U.S. Patent 5,841,840

can be modified to be a DSL modem as taught in the subsequent invention. With the modification, multiple-line CP modem 30 exchanges information in a format compatible with ADSL standards as appreciated by a person of average skill in the art.

Page 7

Regarding claim 4, in column 3, lines 15-47, while operating at high speed on both first line 16 and second line 13 in data mode, multiple line CP modem 30 exchanges communication information in a format compatible with ADSL standards with the modification as discussed in claim 3.

Regarding claim 6, referring to figure 1 of U.S. Patent 5,841,840, multiple-line CP modem 30 is adapted to simultaneously exchange communication information over both first line 16 and second line 13 with central site modem 10 located at a central site.

Regarding claim 8, referring to figure 1 of U.S. Patent 5,841,840, because multiple-line CP modem 30 can be implemented as a multi-line DSL modem operating on multiple DSL lines, therefore, CP modem 30 can be adapted to use second line 17 for receiving downstream communication information.

Regarding claim 9, using analogous argument as for claim 8, CP modem 30 can be adapted to use second line 17 for receiving both upstream and downstream communication information.

Regarding claim 16, claim 16 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 8 because of similar scope.

Regarding claim 17, claim 17 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 9 because of similar scope.

8. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. U.S. Patent 5,841,840, U.S. Patent 5,901,205, Kikinis U.S. Patent 6,480,486 B2 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kahkoska et al. U.S. Patent 6,002,671.

Regarding claim 7, Smith does not teach multiple-line modem adapted to communicate information over the shared second communication loop using a technique chosen as set forth in the application claim. Kahkoska et al. discusses in the background of the invention that ADSL converts existing twisted-pair telephone lines into access lines for high-speed digital communication and multimedia services such as video on demand (VOD). ADSL operates according to a frequency division multiplex (FDM) scheme in which the frequency spectrum is allocated for plain old telephone service (PTOS, 0-4khz) and for data (4khz – 1.1 Mhz). Because Smith et al. teaches in the U.S. Patent 5,901,205 that the multi-line modem can be implemented as DSL modem, therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that Smith et al. multi-line DSL modem can be modified to implement as an ADSL multi-line modem.

9. Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. U.S. Patent 5,841,840, U.S. Patent 5,901,205, Kikinis U.S. Patent 6,480,486 B2 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Price U.S. Patent 6,393,110 B1.

Regarding claim 11, Smith et al. does not teach the second interface has a splitter for separating ADSL communication information from voice information and for configuring to communicate voice information over first line 16. As known in the art of ADSL technology, as recited in claim 7, ADSL operates according to a frequency division multiplex (FDM) scheme in which the frequency spectrum is allocated for plain old telephone service (PTOS, 0-4khz) and for data (4khz – 1.1 Mhz). Further shown in US Patent 6,393,110 B1, Price teaches in figure 2 that at the customer premises 220, a voice/data splitter 222 separates the POTS frequency band from the data frequency bands; see column 4, lines 50-67. In view of that, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that multi-line DSL modem can be modified to implement voice/data splitter as shown in Price teachings. The modification is obvious because DSL modem is widely known to carry both voice and data on existing telephone line.

Regarding claim 15, claim 15 is rejected on the same ground as for claim 11 because of similar scope.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,326 Page 10

Art Unit: 2631

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Claims 19-20 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 19, claim 19 is allowed over prior art of record because the cited prior art taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of increasing communication bandwidth, the mod comprising the step of "while the at least one other communication terminal communicates with the network node".

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-3007. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 08:00 AM - 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2631

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCT

Whomh congition 05/26/2005 Examiner KHANH TRAN